

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| FIRST NAMED INVENTOR             | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO.                          |  |
|----------------------------------|---------------------|---|--|
| Claude Gerard                    | 0512-1027-1         | 3792                                      |  |
|                                  | EXAMINER            |   |  |
| YOUNG & THOMPSON                 |                     |   |  |
|                                  |                     |   |  |
|                                  | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER                              |  |
| 2ND FLOOR<br>ARLINGTON, VA 22202 |                     |   |  |
| •                                |                     | Claude Gerard 0512-1027-1  EXAM  FLANIGAN |  |

DATE MAILED: 10/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  |   | Appl  | ication No.   | Applicant(s)   |        |  |
|--|---|---|---|--|--------|--|
| Office Action Summary  |   | 10/6  | 74,340  | GERARD, CLAUDE   |        |  |
|  |   | Exan  | niner   | Art Unit   |        |  |
|  |   |   | J. Flanigan   | 3753   |        |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address<br>Period for Reply  |   |   |   |  |        |  |
| THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any   | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be the period by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | FION. CFR 1.136(a). In tion. rs, a reply within the period will apply by statute, cause the | no event, however, may a reply be time statutory minimum of thirty (30) day, and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |        |  |
| Status   |   |   |   |  |        |  |
| 1)   | Responsive to communication(s) filed on   |   |   |  |        |  |
| 2a) <u></u> □  | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)   | 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.   |   |  |        |  |
| 3)   | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |   |   |  |        |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |   |   |  |        |  |
| 5)   | 4)  Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) 1-13 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.   |   |   |  |        |  |
| Applicati  | on Papers   |   |   |  |        |  |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  |   |   |   |  |        |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.   |   |   |   |  |        |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |   |   |   |  |        |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.   |   |   |   |  |        |  |
| Priority u   | nder 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |   |  |        |  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul> |   |   |   |  |        |  |
| Attachment(s)  |   |   |   |  |        |  |
|  | e of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 40)   | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da  |  |        |  |
| 3) Inform  | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9<br>nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/<br>r No(s)/Mail Date   |   | 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:   |  | D-152) |  |

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-8 and 11-13, drawn to a heat exchange fin and plate fin heat exchanger, classified in class 165, subclass 166.
- II. Claims 9 and 10, drawn to a method of making a fin, classified in class 72, subclass 253.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process, such as one which does not involve hot extrusion or material removing machining operation (e.g. casting, rolling, EDM, etc.).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Should applicant elect invention II above (method of making), the application is subject to an additional election requirement as follows:

This application contains claims directed to two patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: hot extrusion, and machining.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, neither of the claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Allen J. Flanigan whose telephone number is (571) 272-4910. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gene Mancene can be reached on (571) 272-4930. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Allen J. Flanigan Primary Examiner Art Unit 3753